

Quiz #6 Solutions

1. (a) Any (nonzero) linear combination of the columns of A will do. The simplest examples are the values of the columns themselves: $(1, 4)$, $(2, 5)$, or $(3, 6)$. (In fact, because the columns of A span \mathbb{R}^2 as shown below, *any* nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^2 will work, but you'd have to justify this to get full marks.)

Yes, the vector $(4, 11)$ is in $\text{Col } A$. We can see this directly by reducing the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R2 \rightarrow R2 - 4R1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is clearly consistent, so the given vector is a linear combination of the columns of A and so is in $\text{Col } A$. (Alternatively, if we reduced the coefficient matrix itself, we would discover that it has a pivot position in every row. Thus, A spans all of \mathbb{R}^2 and *every* vector in \mathbb{R}^2 is in $\text{Col } A$.)

The usual solution would involve row reducing A to echelon form to find its pivot columns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R2 \rightarrow R2 - 4R1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the first two columns of A are its pivot columns, so

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

forms a basis for $\text{Col } A$.

(In fact, because $\text{Col } A = \mathbb{R}^2$, *any* two linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 form a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . Even the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ would work. Again, though, you'd have to justify your answer to get full marks.)

- (b) Solving the homogeneous system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R2 \rightarrow R2 - 4R1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{3}R2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R1 \rightarrow R1 - 2R2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

gives the general solution

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = x_3 \\ x_2 = -2x_3 \\ x_3 \text{ free} \end{cases}$$

which we may write in vector parametric form

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ -2x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_3 \text{ free}$$

Therefore, a basis for $\text{Nul } A$ is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

That is, $\text{Nul } A$ consists of all the scalar multiples of $(1, -2, 1)$. Therefore, any (nonzero) scalar multiple of $(1, -2, 1)$ will work as an example, such as $(1, -2, 1)$ or $(2, -4, 2)$.

The vector $(1, 2, 1)$ is *not* a scalar multiple of $(1, -2, 1)$, so it is not in $\text{Nul } A$. Alternatively, you could note that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so it is *not* a solution to the homogeneous equation and, therefore, not in $\text{Nul } A$.

- (c) From part (a), $\text{Col } A$ has a basis of two vectors, so its dimension is 2. From part (b), $\text{Nul } A$ has a basis of one vector, so its dimension is 1. As we would expect from the Rank Theorem, the sum of these two numbers is 3, the number of columns in A .
2. (a) As H has a basis consisting of three vectors, its dimension is 3.
- (b) Yes, every basis for H contains three vectors. We proved in class that all bases of a subspace have the same number of vectors. That's how we define the dimension. No. Every set of three *linearly independent* vectors in H is a basis for H (by the Basis Theorem), but not every set of nonzero vectors. If the vectors were all scalar multiples of each other, for example, they would be linearly dependent and not a basis.
- (c) Yes, $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1\}$ is a basis for H . By the Basis Theorem, it suffices to show that either this set spans H or that it is linearly independent.

To see that it spans H , note that \mathbf{b}_3 can be written as a linear combination

$$\mathbf{b}_3 = \mathbf{b}_1 + (\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1)$$

of \mathbf{b}_1 and $\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1$. Therefore, any vector \mathbf{v} in H can be rewritten:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + c_3 \mathbf{b}_3 \\ &= c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + c_3 (\mathbf{b}_1 + (\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1)) \\ &= (c_1 + c_3) \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + c_3 (\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1) \end{aligned}$$

as a linear combination of these new vectors. Therefore, by the Basis Theorem, they form a basis for H .

Alternatively, to see that the set is linearly independent, note that if we had:

$$c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + c_3 (\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1) = \mathbf{0}$$

then that equation can be rewritten

$$(c_1 - c_3) \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + c_3 \mathbf{b}_3 = \mathbf{0}$$

By the linear independence of the original basis, we have all weights zero: $c_1 - c_3 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$, and $c_3 = 0$. But, this implies $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, so these new vectors are linearly independent, too, and—by the Basis Theorem—they form a basis for H .

On the other hand, $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2 + \mathbf{b}_3\}$ can't form a basis for H because it only contains two vectors, and all bases of H must have three vectors. (In terms of the definition of a basis, it turns out that this set is linearly independent, but it does not span all of H .)

3. (a) The standard matrix is given by

$$A = \left[T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right] = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_2 & v_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) The area of triangle S is $1/2$, so the area of the transformed triangle R is given by the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{area}(R) &= |\det A| \text{area}(S) \\ &= \frac{|u_1v_2 - u_2v_1|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

4. (a) Fix some i . The i th element of the main diagonal of DA is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (DA)_{ii} &= d_{i1}a_{1i} + d_{i2}a_{2i} + \cdots + d_{in}a_{ni} \\ &= C_{1i}a_{1i} + C_{2i}a_{2i} + \cdots + C_{ni}a_{ni} \end{aligned}$$

This is actually a cofactor expansion down the i th column of A , so by Theorem 3.1, it is equal to $\det A$. Therefore, the diagonal elements of $BA = \frac{1}{\det A}DA$ are all ones.

- (b) Let F be such a matrix. Because it has two equal columns, its columns are linearly dependent (since one of the duplicates can be written as a linear combination of the other columns). By the Invertible Matrix Theorem, F is not invertible, so by Theorem 3.4, it has $\det F = 0$.
- (c) The element in the i th row and j th column of DA is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (DA)_{ij} &= d_{i1}a_{1j} + d_{i2}a_{2j} + \cdots + d_{in}a_{nj} \\ &= C_{1i}a_{1j} + C_{2i}a_{2j} + \cdots + C_{ni}a_{nj} \end{aligned}$$

If we performed a cofactor expansion of F down its i th column, we would find that the cofactors of F down this column are the same as the corresponding cofactors of A ; that is, they are C_{1i}, \dots, C_{ni} . (This is because F looks like A except for the i th column and all these cofactors have the i th column deleted.) Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, we would have

$$\det F = f_{1i}C_{1i} + f_{2i}C_{2i} + \cdots + f_{ni}C_{ni}$$

However, the elements f_{1i}, \dots, f_{ni} of the i th column of F are actually the elements of the j th column of A . That is,

$$\det F = a_{1j}C_{1i} + a_{2j}C_{2i} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{ni}$$

which is the same as the expression we got for $(DA)_{ij}$ above.

By part (b), the determinant of F is zero, so

$$(DA)_{ij} = \det F = 0$$

for all $i \neq j$. As a result, $(BA)_{ij} = \frac{1}{\det A}(DA)_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, too, and all the off-diagonal elements of BA are zero.

5. By Theorem 6 generalized to a product of p matrices, we have

$$\det A_1 A_2 \dots A_p = (\det A_1)(\det A_2) \dots (\det A_p)$$

If the product $A_1 \dots A_p$ is invertible, then its determinant is nonzero, so none of the determinants on the right-hand side can be zero. Therefore, all the individual matrices A_k are invertible, too.

Conversely, if all of the individual matrices A_k are invertible, their determinants are all nonzero, so the determinant of the product $A_1 \dots A_p$ is nonzero, too. That is, it is invertible.